

WHAT FORESTRY STRATEGY (-IES) FOR THE EUROPEAN UNION?

Study written by the CLUB DE BRUXELLES under the direction of Marie-Martine BUCKENS

for the conference organised by the *Club de Bruxelles* on 21, 22 & 23 May 1997, in Brussels, with the support of the European Commission (DG IA, DG VI, DG VIII and DG XI)

1997

Toric



WHAT FORESTRY STRATEGY (-IES) FOR THE EUROPEAN UNION?

Study written by the CLUB DE BRUXELLES under the direction of Marie-Martine BUCKENS

for the conference organised by the *Club de Bruxelles* on 21, 22 & 23 May 1997, in Brussels, with the support of the European Commission (DG IA, DG VI, DG VIII and DG XI)

© copyright Club de Bruxelles

1997

52, avenue Adolphe Lacomblé - B-1030 Brussels - Tel: 322+ 743.15.20 / Fax: 322+ 733.50.50 Internet - http://www.sfere.com/clubdebruxelles e-mail - Club.Bxl@arcadis.be VAT 429.224.505 - R.C.B. 502.178 - Banque Bruxelles Lambert 310-1088921-85

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| INT | rrodu | ICTION | 1 |
|-----|-------|--|----|
| СН | APTER | I: THE EU'S CURRENT POLICIES ON FORESTS | 12 |
| I. | Mea | sures relative to the Common Agricultural Policy | 14 |
| 1. | A. | History | 14 |
| | В. | The forestry action programme | 15 |
| | D. | 1) Development of the value of forests | 15 |
| | | [Regulation 1610/89, together with Regulation 4256/88, | 10 |
| | | Regulation 867/90] | |
| | | 2) Forests' genetic resources | 15 |
| | | [Regulation 1467/94 and Directives 66/404 and 90/654] | 10 |
| | | 3) The European Forestry Information and Communication | 15 |
| | | System (EFICS) [Regulations 1615/89 and 400/94] | 10 |
| | | 4) Research relative to agriculture | 16 |
| | | 5) Additional activities | 16 |
| | C. | Fire prevention activities | 16 |
| | | 1) The Regulation on prevention of forest fires | 17 |
| | | 2) Research policy | 20 |
| | | 3) Civil protection policy | 20 |
| | | 4) Forest fires | 20 |
| | D. | Measures for protection against air pollution | 22 |
| | | 1) An international framework | 22 |
| | | 2)and a Community framework | 23 |
| | | 3) Regulation on protection against air pollution | 23 |
| | E. | Reafforestation of the land | 26 |
| | | 1) Regulation 2080/92 | 26 |
| | | 2) The role of afforestation in the redeployment of farmland | 27 |
| | | 3) The problem of substitute crops | 28 |
| | F. | The Standing Committee on Forests | 28 |
| | G. | Mountainous areas: Member State proposals | 30 |
| II. | EU me | easures in favour of conservation | 33 |
| | А. | The Habitats Directive: key to a future strategy? | 33 |
| | | 1) High stakes | 33 |
| | | 2) The future Natura 2000 network | 34 |
| | | a) Stage 1: Drafting national-level lists | 35 |

ii

| | | | b) | Stage 2: Sites of Community importance (SCIs) | 35 |
|------|--------|--------|--------|---|----|
| | | | c) | Stage 3: Conservation areas | 36 |
| | B. | The | , | ancial instrument | 36 |
| | | 1) | Forest | projects financed under the LIFE instrument in 1995 | 36 |
| | | / | a) | Finland: protection and management of western taiga | 36 |
| | | | b) | Conservation of threatened trees in the Apennines | 37 |
| | | | c) | Germany: Heinich national park | 37 |
| | | | d) | Denmark: Restoration of strategic forest sites | 38 |
| | C. | The | / | ogramme for the Environment - "Towards | |
| | | | | Development" | 39 |
| | | 1) | | y actions | 39 |
| | | / | a) | At EU level | 39 |
| | | | b) | At international level | 40 |
| | | 2) | | of forestry actions in the EU | 40 |
| | | / | a) | In the area of reafforestation and regeneration of | |
| | | | | existing forests | 40 |
| | | | b) | Measures to prevent forest fires: | 41 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| CHAI | PTER I | I: | THE E | UROPEAN UNION AND TROPICAL FORESTS | 42 |
| I. | Tropi | cal fo | rests | | 42 |
| | | | | | |

| I. | Tropi | cal forests | 42 |
|-----|-------|---|----|
| | A. | The context | 42 |
| | В. | The ecological and economic issues | 43 |
| | C. | The causes of damage | 43 |
| II. | EU ac | tion | 44 |
| | Α. | The international context | 44 |
| | В. | The legal framework | 45 |
| | | 1) The fourth Lomé Convention | 45 |
| | | 2) Council Regulation 3062/95 of 20 December 1995 | |
| | | on action in the area of tropical forests | 45 |
| | | 3) Regulation 443/92 of 25 February 1992 on financial and | |
| | | technical assistance to and cooperation with developing | |
| | | countries in Asia and Latin America | 45 |
| | | 4) The Fifth Community Programme of policy and action | |
| | | in the area of the environment and sustainable development | 45 |
| | | 5) The specific programme for research, technological | |
| | | development and demonstration in the area of cooperation | |
| | | with third countries and international organisations, 1994-98 | 46 |
| | C. | Actions conducted up to the end of the 1990s | 46 |
| | D. | Research programmes | 47 |
| | E. | The specific "Tropical Forests" instrument | 48 |
| | | 1) The current situation | 48 |
| | | 2) A preliminary assessment: 1992-1995 | 49 |
| | | | |

iii

| | 3) 4) | a) Main programmes conducted in Latin America b) Main programmes in Asia c) Main programmes in ACP countries New guidelines for cooperation with developing countries Trade and biotechnology: the new issues | 50 51 53 54 55 |
|------|--------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| III. | A. Spe B. ITT | m of Generalised Preferences: a premium for tropical timber ecial incentive schemes CO criteria e current situation | 56 56 57 57 |
| CHA | PTER III: | THE EU AND THIRD COUNTRIES | 58 |
| I. | States (CIA.A.B.The | pean Union and the Commonwealth of Independent S) important resource for Russia e Baikal project e forestry project in northwestern Russia | 58 58 59 59 |
| II. | A. The | nd the countries of Central and Eastern Europe (CEECs) e Phare programme e Helsinki assessment | 60 60 61 |
| III. | A. Tra B. For | ntic relations ade dispute over eco-label rests: contrasting options orief overview of North American forests The United States Canada | 62 63 63 63 64 |
| CHA | PTER IV: | THE EUROPEAN WOOD PRODUCTS INDUSTRY | 65 |
| I. | Ever-incre | easing importance in the eyes of the Commission | 65 |
| II. | A.TheB.StructureC.TheD.Control | l processing sector e importance of standardisation ucture of the sector e impact of the single market mmunity measures and regulations Standardisation | 66 66 67 67 68 68 |

- 1) 2) 3) Training and education: the STEP programme The growing impact of environmental standards 68 68

| | | 4) Enlargement to Eastern Europe | 70 |
|------|------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| III. | Pape A. B. C. | er and cardboard Compliance with environmental standards A market moving towards concentration EU measures and rules 1) Competition 2) International standards | 70 71 71 72 72 72 |
| IV. | Print A. B. | ting and publishing The impact of the single market Environmental standards 1) Reducing solvent emissions 2) Packaging and packaging waste | 73 73 74 74 74 |

| CHAPTER V: | | V: THE IMPACT OF THE EU'S ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS ON INDUSTRY | 76 | |
|------------|---|--|----|--|
| | The F | | 76 | |
| I. | The European eco-label | | | |
| | A. | Regulation 880/92 | 76 | |
| | В. | Eco-labels for paper | 77 | |
| | | 1) Sustainable management vs. Helsinki | 77 | |
| | | 2) Definition of conservation of renewable resources | 78 | |
| | 0 | 3) Copier paper: notable criteria | 78 | |
| | C. | Expected revision | 80 | |
| II. | The "Packaging and Packaging Waste" Directive | | | |
| | А. | Principles | 81 | |
| | В. | The mandate of the European Committee for Standardization | 83 | |
| | C. | Marking and assessment rules | 83 | |
| III. | VOC | s: proposed measures for reducing waste from solvent-using | | |
| | indus | | 84 | |
| IV. | Susta | inable forest management and certification | 85 | |
| | A. | Europe's key players | 86 | |
| | | 1) The EU's position | 86 | |
| | | 2) The position of European forest owners | 88 | |
| | | 3) The position of the paper industry | 88 | |
| | В. | The various processes underway | 89 | |
| | | 1) The Helsinki Process | 89 | |
| | | a) Application of pan-European criteria and indicators | 90 | |
| | | b) Enforcement at national level | 90 | |
| | | 2) The Montreal Process | 91 | |

| | | Cooperation in the Amazon Provisional International Tropical Timber Agreement | 91 91 |
|------|-------|--|----------|
| | C. | Two examples of certification: FSC and ISO 14001 | 92 |
| 1 | | 1) The Forest Stewardship Council | 92 |
| | | 2) The Canadian system | 93 |
| CHA | PTER | | 0.6 |
| | | BODIES | 96 |
| I. | Fores | sts and international conventions | 96 |
| 1. | A. | Convention on Biodiversity | 96 |
| | | 1) Scope and objectives | 96 |
| | | 2) Provisions relating to forests | 96 |
| | В. | The International Tropical Timber Agreement (ITTA) | 97 |
| | 2. | 1) Scope and objectives | 97 |
| | | 2) Provisions relating to forests | 97 |
| | C. | Convention on Desertification | 98 |
| | | 1) Scope and objectives | 98 |
| | | 2) Provisions relating to forests | 98 |
| | D. | Convention on Climate Change | 98 |
| | | 1) Scope and objectives | 99 |
| | | 2) Provisions relating to forests | 99 |
| | E. | Convention on Indigenous Peoples | 99 |
| | | 1) Scope and objectives | 99 |
| | | 2) Provisions relating to forests | 99 |
| | F. | The Ramsar Convention | 100 |
| | | 1) Scope and objectives | 100 |
| | | 2) Provisions relating to forests | 100 |
| | G. | The World Heritage Convention | 100 |
| | | 1) Scope and objectives | 100 |
| | | 2) Provisions relating to forests | 101 |
| II. | The | CITES Convention and the EU Regulation | 101 |
| | A. | The EU and CITES | 102 |
| | B. | CITES and forests | 103 |
| | D. | CITES and lorests | 100 |
| III. | The | World Trade Organisation and forests | 103 |
| | А. | Objectives | 103 |
| | В. | Implications for forests and timber | 103 |
| | | 1) Article XX | 104 |
| | | 2) An ongoing debate | 104 |
| | | 3) EU/United States relations | 104 |
| IV. | The | Intergovernmental Panel - prelude to a Convention on Forests? | 105 |
| | А. | Background | 105 |

v

| | 1) | The Rio Conference | 105 |
|----|-----|--|-----|
| | 2) | The Statement of Forest Principles | 106 |
| | 3) | Chapter XI of Agenda 21 | 107 |
| B. | The | e Intergovernmental Panel on Forests (IPF) | 107 |
| | 1) | Proponents of an "improved status quo" | 108 |
| | 2) | EU leads support for a convention | 109 |
| | 3) | The actors participating | 110 |
| | 4) | Principal results | 111 |
| | 5) | UNGASS: the EU's position | 113 |
| | | | |

vi